



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/645,500

08/22/2003

Gerold Herold

32860-000610/US

8715

30596 7590 03/24/2008  
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O.BOX 8910  
RESTON, VA 20195

EXAMINER

LOVEL, KIMBERLY M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2167

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/24/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/645,500

Applicant(s)

HEROLD ET AL.

Examiner

KIMBERLY LOVEL

Art Unit

2167

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period **will** apply and **will** expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply **will**, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 November 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-29 are rejected.

#### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 26 November 2007 has been entered.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PGPub 2002/0087359 to Bocionek (hereafter Bocionek) in view of US PGPub 2004/0186747 to Nakano et al (hereafter Nakano).**

**Referring to claim 1**, Bocionek discloses a data processing system for processing medically relevant data objects including at least one of image data and metadata (see abstract), comprising:

- a first electronic data processing device [operator consoles 5-8] for viewing and editing the data objects (see [0021], lines 7-11), the first electronic data processing device including,

- a data store for storing the data objects [medical images and patient data are stored locally within the workstations] (see [0021], lines 7-11), and

- a first interface for outputting data objects (see [0025]); and

- a second electronic data processing [workstation 11] (see [0023]), the second electronic data processing device including,

- a second interface for receiving the data objects [communication network 9] (see [0023], lines 1-4),

wherein

- the first data processing device uses firmly prescribed data formats [DICOM], to store, view and edit data objects (see [0021] and [0025]),and

- the interfaces of the first and second processing devices are connectable to one another for transfer of data objects from the first data processing device to the second data processing device (see [0022], lines 1-3; [0023], lines 1-4; and [0025]).

However, while Bocionek discloses a second processing device, Bocionek fails to explicitly disclose the further limitations of the second electronic data processing device presenting and altering data from data objects in reports using report masks, wherein the second electronic data processing device has a mask memory for storing the report masks and uses report masks, generateable and alterable locally by the user of the second data processing device to present and alter objects in a report context. Nakano discloses creating formatted reports containing extracts from the database including a processing device for presenting data from data objects in medically relevant reports (see abstract), the second electronic data processing device presenting and altering data from data objects in reports using report masks (see [0049]; [0050]; and Fig 2), wherein the second electronic data processing device has a mask memory for storing the report masks [report template database 11] (see Fig 2), a second interface for receiving the data objects [interface 9] (see Fig 2) and uses report masks, generateable and alterable locally by the user of the second data processing device to present and alter objects in a report context (see [0088], lines 8-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the report masks of Nakano to present the data disclosed by Bocionek on a second device. One would have been motivated to do so in order to improve the diversity of database system with which the clients and users can interact.

**Referring to claim 2**, the combination of Bocionek and Nakano (hereafter Bocionek/Nakano) discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 1, wherein

the second data processing device stores report masks at least one of generated and altered by the user [templates] (Nakano: see [0052]).

**Referring to claim 3**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the second data processing device uses report masks, generate able and alterable by the user without knowledge of the syntax of the data objects, in order for a user to edit data from data objects (Nakano: see [0088]).

**Referring to claim 4**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 1, wherein at least one of the interfaces includes the data switching device, the data switching device having access to an association memory containing information about an association between data object types and report masks, and wherein the data switching device is adapted to ascertain the type of a data object transferred via the interface, compare the ascertained type with the content of the association memory and associate a report mask with the data object on the basis of the result of the comparison (Nakano: see [0080]).

**Referring to claim 5**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the interfaces on the first and second data processing devices, when interconnected, are useable to transfer data belonging to data objects from the second data processing device to the first data processing device, and wherein data objects with user-edited data, transferred to the first data processing device via the interconnected interfaces, are stored in the data store (Nakano: see [0058], [0088] and [0089]).

**Referring to claim 6**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 5, wherein content of user-edited data is checked by the data switching device, and the checked data are stored by the first data processing device only on the basis of the result of the check (Nakano: see [0088] and [0089]).

**Referring to claim 7**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first data processing device is for authenticating all access operations to data objects by users in a manner which the user cannot alter and documents them for later reconstruction (Bocionek: see [0021] and [0025]).

**Referring to claim 8**, Bocionek discloses a distributed method for processing medically relevant data objects, including at least one of image data and metadata (see abstract), with a first component [operator consoles 5-8] for at least one of viewing, editing and storing the data objects (see [0021], lines 7-11) and with a second component [workstation 11] for presenting data from the data objects (see [0023]), comprising:

using prescribed data formats [DICOM] in the first component, which are unalterable by a user, to at least one of store, view and edit the data objects (see [0021] and [0025]);

However, while Bocionek discloses a second processing device, Bocionek fails to explicitly disclose the further limitations of the second electronic data processing device presenting and altering data from data objects in reports using report masks, wherein the second electronic data processing device has a mask memory for storing the report masks and uses report masks, generateable and alterable locally by the user

Art Unit: 2167

of the second data processing device to present and alter objects in a report context.

Nakano discloses creating formatted reports containing extracts from the database including a processing device for presenting data from data objects in medically relevant reports (see abstract), the second electronic data processing device presenting and altering data from data objects in reports using report masks (see [0049]; [0050]; and Fig 2), wherein the second electronic data processing device has a mask memory for storing the report masks [report template database 11] (see Fig 2), a second interface for receiving the data objects [interface 9] (see Fig 2) and uses report masks, generateable and alterable locally by the user of the second data processing device to present and alter objects in a report context (see [0088], lines 8-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the report masks of Nakano to present the data disclosed by Bocionek on a second device. One would have been motivated to do so in order to improve the diversity of database system with which the clients and users can interact.

**Referring to claim 9**, Bocionek/Nakano teaches the distributed method as claimed in claim 8, wherein the second data processing device stores report masks [templates] at least one of generated and altered by the user [template database] (Nakano: see [0052] and Fig 2).

**Referring to claim 10**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the distributed method as claimed in claim 8, wherein the second method component uses report masks for a user to edit data from the data objects (Nakano: see [0088]).



**Referring to claim 11**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the distributed method as claimed in claim 8, wherein a data switching component is provided for ascertaining the type of a data object transferred from the first to the second component, for comparing the ascertained type with the content of an association memory containing information about the association between data object types and report masks, and for associating a report mask with the data object on the basis of the result of this comparison (Nakano: see [0080]).

**Referring to claim 12**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the distributed method as claimed in claim 8, wherein data belonging to data objects is transferable from the second to the first component, and wherein the first component stores data objects with user-edited data, transferred to the first component, in a data store (Nakano: see [0089]).

**Referring to claim 13**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the distributed method as claimed in claim 12, wherein the content of user-edited data belonging to data objects is checked, and the user-edited data are stored by the first component only on the basis of the result of this check (Bocionek: [0021] and [0025]).

**Referring to claim 14**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses teaches the distributed method as claimed in claim 8, wherein the first component authenticates all access operations to data objects by users in a manner which the user cannot alter and documents them so that they can be subsequently reconstructed (Bocionek: [0021] and [0025]).

**Referring to claim 15**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 2, wherein the second data processing device uses report masks, generateable and alterable by the user without knowledge of the syntax of the data objects, in order for a user to edit data from data objects (Nakano: see [0089]).

**Referring to claim 16**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 1, wherein at least one of the interfaces includes data switching means, having access to an association memory containing information about an association between data object types and report masks, for ascertaining the type of a data object transferred via the interface, for comparing the ascertained type with the content of the association memory and for associating a report mask with the data object on the basis of the result of the comparison (Nakano: see [0080]).

**Referring to claim 17**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 4, wherein the interfaces on the first and second data processing devices, when interconnected, are useable to transfer data belonging to data objects from the second data processing device to the first data processing device, and wherein data objects with user-edited data, transferred to the first data processing device via the interconnected interfaces, are stored in the data store (Nakano: see [0058]).

**Referring to claim 18**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 17, wherein content of user-edited data is checked, and the checked data are stored by the first data processing device only on the basis of the result of the check (Nakano: see [0056]-[0059]).

**Referring to claim 19**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the distributed method of claim 8, wherein the second component is used to present data from the data objects in medically relevant reports using the report masks (Nakano: see [0049] and [0058]).

**Referring to claim 20**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the distributed method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the second method component uses report masks for a user to edit data from the data objects (Nakano: see [0058]).

**Referring to claim 21**, Bocionek/Nakano teaches the distributed method as claimed in claim 9, wherein a data switching component is provided for ascertaining the type of a data object transferred from the first to the second component, for comparing the ascertained type with the content of an association memory containing information about the association between data object types and report masks, and for associating a report mask with the data object on the basis of the result of this comparison (Nakano: see [0081]-[0083]).

**Referring to claim 22**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the distributed method as claimed in claim 10, wherein a data switching component is provided for ascertaining the type of a data object transferred from the first to the second component, for comparing the ascertained type with the content of an association memory containing information about the association between data object types and report masks, and for associating a report mask with the data object on the basis of the result of this comparison (Nakano: see [0081]-[0083]).

**Referring to claim 23**, Bocionek discloses a data processing system for processing medically relevant data objects including at least one of image data and metadata (see abstract), comprising:

a first electronic data processing means [operator consoles 5-8] for viewing and editing the data objects (see [0021], lines 7-11), the first electronic data processing device including,

storage means for storing the data objects [medical images and patient data are stored locally within the workstations] (see [0021], lines 7-11), and

first interfacing means for outputting data objects (see [0025]); and

second electronic data processing means [workstation 11] (see [0023]), the second electronic data processing device including,

second interfacing means for receiving the data objects [communication network 9] (see [0023], lines 1-4),

wherein

the first data processing means uses firmly prescribed data formats [DICOM], unalterable by a user, to store, view and edit data objects (see [0021] and [0025]), and

the interfacing means of the first and second processing means are connectable to one another for transfer of data objects from the first data processing means to the second data processing means (see [0022], lines 1-3; [0023], lines 1-4; and [0025]).

However, while Bocionek discloses a second processing device, Bocionek fails to explicitly disclose the further limitations of the second electronic data processing device presenting and altering data from data objects in reports using report masks, wherein the second electronic data processing device has a mask memory for storing the report masks and uses report masks, generateable and alterable locally by the user of the second data processing device to present and alter objects in a report context. Nakano discloses creating formatted reports containing extracts from the database including a processing device for presenting data from data objects in medically relevant reports (see abstract), the second electronic data processing device presenting and altering data from data objects in reports using report masks (see [0049]; [0050]; and Fig 2), wherein the second electronic data processing device has a mask memory for storing the report masks [report template database 11] (see Fig 2), a second interface for receiving the data objects [interface 9] (see Fig 2) and uses report masks, generateable and alterable locally by the user of the second data processing device to present and alter objects in a report context (see [0088], lines 8-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the report masks of Nakano to present the data disclosed by Bocionek on a second device. One would have been motivated to do so in order to improve the diversity of database system with which the clients and users can interact.

**Referring to claim 24**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 23, wherein the second data processing means stores report masks

[templates] at least one of generated and altered by the user (Nakano: see [0052] and Fig 2).

**Referring to claim 25**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 23, wherein the second data processing means uses report masks, generateable and alterable by the user without knowledge of the syntax of the data objects, in order for a user to edit data from data objects (Nakano: see [0089]).

**Referring to claim 26**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 23, wherein at least one of the interfacing means includes the data switching means, the data switching means having access to an association memory containing information about an association between data object types and report masks, for ascertaining the type of a data object transferred via the interface means, for comparing the ascertained type with the content of the association memory and for associating a report mask with the data object on the basis of the result of the comparison (Nakano: see [0080]).

**Referring to claim 27**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 23, wherein the interfacing means on the first and second data processing means, when interconnected, are useable to transfer data belonging to data objects from the second data processing means to the first data processing means, and wherein data objects with user-edited data, transferred to the first data processing means via the interconnected interfaces, are stored in the storage means (Bocionek: see [0021] and [0025]).

**Referring to claim 28**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 27 wherein content of user-edited data is checked, and the checked data are stored by the first data processing means only on the basis of the result of the check (Bocionek: see [0021] and [0025]).

**Referring to claim 29**, Bocionek/Nakano discloses the data processing system as claimed in claim 23, wherein the first data processing means is for authenticating all access operations to data objects by users in a manner which the user cannot alter and documents them for later reconstruction (Bocionek: see [0021] and [0025]).

***Contact Information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KIMBERLY LOVEL whose telephone number is (571)272-2750. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John R. Cottingham/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167

Kimberly Lovel  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2167

17 Match 2008  
kml



Application/Control Number: 10/645,500  
Art Unit: 2167

Page 16